

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/235,153	GEORGES ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634

**All Participants:**

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

**Status of Application:** after-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Schwartz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 August 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

83, 89, 86, and 94

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An amendment was suggested that would clarify that the enzyme being transformed into the plants reduces the amount of choline in the transformed seeds. It was pointed out that such an amendment has support in the specification at page 16, lines 8-15, for example. Further, it was pointed out that "said substrate" in claims 86 and 94 lacks proper antecedent basis in the claims as the independent claims no longer recite a substrate. Mr. Schwartz agreed to an examiner's amendment adding the suggested language to claims 83 and 89 and cancelling claims 86 and 94. .